Statistical Machine Learning20 — Neural Networks and Deep Learning12/01/2015Lecturer: Prof. HomrighausenScribe: Lyuou Zhang

### 1 Nonparametric regression

Suppose  $Y \in \mathbb{R}$  and we are trying to nonparametrically fit the regression function

 $\mathbb{E}Y|X = f_*(X)$ 

A common approach (particularly when p is small) is to specify

- A fixed basis,  $(\phi_k)_{k=1}^{\infty}$
- A tuning parameter K

We follow this prescription:

1. Write<sup>1</sup>

$$f_*(X) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta_k \phi_k(x)$$

where  $\beta_k = \langle f_*, \phi_k \rangle$ 

2. Truncate this expansion<sup>2</sup> at K

$$f_*^K(X) = \sum_{k=1}^K \beta_k \phi_k(x)$$

3. Estimate  $\beta_k$  with least squares

The weaknesses of this approach are:

- The basis is fixed and independent of the data
- If p is large, then nonparametrics doesn't work well at all
- If the basis doesn't 'agree' with  $f_*$ , then K will have to be large to capture the structure  $(f_* = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \langle f_*, \phi_k \rangle \phi_k)$
- What if parts of  $f_*$  have substantially different structure?

An alternative would be to have the data tell us what kind of basis to use

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Technically,  $f_*$  might not be in the span of the basis, in which case we have incurred an irreducible approximation error. Here, I'll just write  $f_*$  as the projection of  $f_*$  onto that span

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Often higher k are more rough  $\Rightarrow$  this is a smoothness assumption

## 2 Neural networks

#### 2.1 Definitions

$$L(\mu(X)) = \beta_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \beta_k \sigma(\alpha_{k0} + \alpha_k^{\top} X)$$

The main components are

- The derived features  $Z_k = \sigma(\alpha_{k0} + \alpha_k^{\top} X)$  and are called the hidden units
  - The function  $\sigma$  is called the activation function and is very often  $\sigma(u) = (1 + e^{-u})^{-1}$
  - (This particular  $\sigma(u)$  is known as the sigmoid function)
  - The parameters  $\beta_0, \beta_k, \alpha_{k0}, \alpha_k$  are estimated from the data.
- The number of hidden units K is a tuning parameter

#### 2.2 Observation 1: Feature map

We start with p covariates

We generate K features

$$\Phi(X) = (1, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p, x_1^2, x_2^2, \dots, x_p^2, x_1 x_2, \dots, x_{p-1} x_p) \in \mathbb{R}^K$$
$$= (\phi_1(X), \dots, \phi_K(X))$$

Before feature map:

$$L(\mu(X)) = \beta_0 + \sum_{j=1}^p \beta_j x_j$$

After feature map:

$$L(\mu(X)) = \beta^{\top} \Phi(X) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \beta_k \phi_k(X)$$

For neural networks write:

$$Z_k = \sigma \left( \alpha_{k0} + \sum_{j=1}^p \alpha_{kj} x_j \right) = \sigma \left( \alpha_{k0} + \alpha_k^\top X \right)$$

Then we have

$$\Phi(X) = (1, Z_1, \dots, Z_K)^\top \in \mathbb{R}^{K+1}$$

and

$$\mu(X) = \beta^{\top} \Phi(X) = \beta_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \beta_k \sigma \left( \alpha_{k0} + \sum_{j=1}^{p} \alpha_{kj} x_j \right)$$

# 2.3 Observation 2: Activation function

If  $\sigma(u) = u$  is linear, then we recover classical methods

$$L(\mu(X)) = \beta_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \beta_k \sigma(\alpha_{k0} + \alpha_k^{\top} X)$$
$$= \beta_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \beta_k (\alpha_{k0} + \alpha_k^{\top} X)$$
$$= \beta_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \beta_k \alpha_{k0} + \sum_{k=1}^{K} \beta_k \alpha_k^{\top} X$$
$$= \gamma_0 + \gamma^{\top} X$$
$$= \gamma_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{p} \gamma_j^{\top} x_j$$