## 1 Brief optimization and convexity detour

### 1.1 Optimization

An optimization problem can be generally formulated as

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{minimize} & F(x)  \tag{1}\\
\text { subject to } & f_{j}(x) \leq 0 \text { for } j=1, \cdots, m  \tag{2}\\
& h_{k}(x)=0 \text { for } k=1, \cdots, q \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where
$x=\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right)^{T}$ are the parameters
$F: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is the objective function
$f_{j}, h_{k}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are constraint functions.
Then optimal solution $x^{*}$ is such that $F\left(x^{*}\right) \leq F(x)$ for any $x^{*}$ and $x$ that satisfies equations (2) and (3).

### 1.2 Convexity

The main dichotomy of optimization programs is convex vs. nonconvex. A convex program is one in which the objective and contraint functions are all convex. The function $f$ is called convex if:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(t x+(1-t) x^{\prime}\right) \leq t f(x)+(1-t) f\left(x^{\prime}\right) \quad \forall x, x^{\prime} \in D, \forall t \in[0,1] \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Methods for convex optimization programs are (roughly) always global and fast, but not for nonconvex problems. For nonconvex problems,

- Local optimization methods that are fast, but need not find global solution
- Global optimization methods that find global solutions, but are not always fast (indeed, are often slow)


## 2 Model selection

### 2.1 All subsets regression

There is a problem for the all subsets regression. In general, it is a nonconvex problem. If there are predictors, then there are $2^{p}$ possible models without considering interactions. Branch and bound, proposed by Furnival and Wilson [1], is a widely used tool for solving large scale NP-hard combination problems, but it cannot reduce the complexity of the problem. The function regsubsets from leaps package is available in R for the model selection.

### 2.2 Branch and bound

Let $M=M_{1} \cup \cdots \cup M_{K}$ be the set of all possible solutions and a partition comprised of branches, respectively. Statistically, we think of M as the set of all possible models. Let $F$ be an objective function, then we want to find

$$
F_{*}:=\max _{m \in M} F(m) .
$$

For each $M_{k}$, define

$$
F_{k}:=\max _{m \in M_{k}} F(m)
$$

and let $\underline{F}_{k}, \bar{F}_{k}$ be a bracket such that

$$
\underline{F}_{k} \leq F_{k} \leq \bar{F}_{k}
$$

Then

$$
\max _{k} \underline{F}_{k}:=\underline{F} \leq F_{*}
$$

The main realization is that the branch $M_{k}$ does not need to be explored if either of the following occur
i. Bound

$$
\bar{F}_{k} \leq \underline{F}
$$

ii. Optimality

$$
\max _{m \in M_{k}} F(m) \text { has been found }
$$

The two main questions remain:

1. How to choose the partition(s)?
2. How to form the bracket?

### 2.3 Branch and bound for model selection

We want to minimize

$$
F(m)=n \log \left(\hat{R}_{\text {train }}\left(\hat{\beta}_{m}\right)\right)+2|m| .
$$

For a set of models $M_{k}$, let
$m_{k, i n f}$ be the largest model contained ${ }^{1}$ in every model in $M_{k}$ $m_{k, \text { sup }}$ be a smallest model that contains every model in $M_{k}$
then, $\forall m \in M_{k}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F(m) \geq n \log \left(\hat{R}_{\text {train }}\left(\hat{\beta}_{m_{k, \text { sup }}}\right)\right)+2\left|m_{k, \text { inf }}\right|=L_{k} \\
& F(m) \leq n \log \left(\hat{R}_{\text {train }}\left(\hat{\beta}_{m_{k, \text { inf }}}\right)\right)+2\left|m_{k, \text { sup }}\right|=U_{k}
\end{aligned}
$$

[^0]
### 2.4 Branch and bound for model selection: An algorithm

1. Define a global variable $b=F(m)$ for any $m \in M$

As an aside, every time $F(m)$ is computed, update $b$ if $F(m)<b$
2. Partition $M=\left\{M_{1}, \ldots, M_{K}\right\}$
3. For each $k$, if $L_{k}>b$, eliminate the branch $M_{k}$
4. Gather each remaining $M_{k}$ and set union equal to $M$
5. Else, recurse and return to 2 .

## 3 Greedy approximations

### 3.1 Forward stepwise selection

In the likely event that $2^{p}$ is too large to be searched over exhaustively, a common greedy approximation is the following: Let $\hat{R}$ be any risk estimate

1. Find $\hat{R}(\emptyset)$ : That is, the intercept only model
2. Search over all $p$ single feature models, computing $\hat{R}$ for each one. Say including $x_{j}$ minimizes $\hat{R}$ with a value $\hat{R}\left(x_{j}\right)$. If $\hat{R}\left(x_{j}\right)<\hat{R}(\emptyset)$, add $x_{j}$ to the model and continue. Otherwise terminate
3. Now search over all $p-1$ models that contain $x_{j}$ and find the $x_{j^{\prime}}$ that minimizes $\hat{R}$. If $\hat{R}\left(x_{j}, x_{j^{\prime}}\right)<$ $\hat{R}\left(x_{j}\right)$, add $x_{j^{\prime}}$ to the model and continue. Otherwise terminate
4. $\cdot$.

Forward stepwise selection can be used effectively to produce sensible answers in either big data or high dimensional regimes, but it might get trapped in a poor local minimum.

### 3.2 General stepwise selection

- Backward stepwise selection: it starts with the full model and stepwise remove covariates.
- Stepwise selection: this consider both adding and removing covariates at each step.
- If we want to be sure to include all the important covariates, then we can use AIC/Cp + backward stepwise selection
- If we want to be sure to only include important covariates, then we can use BIC + forward stepwise selection
- If we want to do predictions, use $\mathrm{AIC} / \mathrm{Cp}$, but it isn't clear what method is the best
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ This does not have to be in $M_{k}$

